



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**

**Buffalo District
Great Lakes and Ohio River Division**

Project Title: Thatcher Brook, Gowanda, New York

Authority: Continuing Authority Program Section 205

P2/Project Number: 153722

Review Plan

PREPARED BY:

[Redacted]

Project Manager
USACE, Buffalo District

RECOMMENDED BY

[Redacted]

LTC, EN
Commanding
USACE, Buffalo District

ENDORSED BY:

[Redacted]

Senior Regional Engineer
Review Management Organization Representative
USACE, Great Lakes and Ohio River Division

APPROVED BY:

[Redacted]

for [Redacted]
Acting Regional Business Director
USACE, Great Lakes and Ohio River Division

MSC APPROVAL DATE:

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by USACE. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

**REVIEW PLAN ENGINEERING AND DESIGN PRODUCTS
THATCHER BROOK, GOWANDA, NY SECTION 205
BUFFALO DISTRICT**

Current Version Date: 19 April 2023

Mandatory Revision Date: 19 April 2026

1. PURPOSE AND REFERENCES

a. Purpose. This review plan describes necessary quality reviews for engineering and design (E&D) products for the Thatcher Brook, Gowanda, NY Flood Risk Reduction Project.

b. References.

(1) Engineering Regulation (ER) 415-1-11, Biddability, Constructability, Operability, Environmental and Sustainability (BCOES) Reviews

(2) Engineering Regulation (ER) 1165-2-217, Civil Works Review Policy

(3) Qualtrax 08504 LRD, Supplemental Quality Procedures for Civil Works (CW) Engineering and Design (E&D) Products

(4) Project Management Plan (PMP)

2. REVIEW MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION (RMO). The RMO for this project is the MSC (Great Lakes and Ohio River Division).

3. PROJECT SCOPE AND PRODUCTS

a. Project Description and Scope of Work. Thatcher Brook flows through the Village of Gowanda, which is approximately 30 miles south of the city of Buffalo, Erie County, New York. The village is part of both Erie and Cattaraugus Counties. The village has sustained several serious flooding events, with the most recent significant events occurring in 1998, 2006 and 2009. The project involves construction of an overflow channel spanning approximately 5,000 feet from Thatcher Brook to Cattaraugus Creek. The channel will be designed for a 1% annual chance event. It will connect at Thatcher Brook concrete headwall structure to buried box culvert. A motor actuated slide gate will be installed at the headwall to divert flow to the box culvert. The entire channel will have sections of grass and rip rap open channel and more buried box culvert. The culvert will cross a road and a railroad (converted to a tourist ride concession). Flow will discharge from an open grass channel section to Cattaraugus Creek. The channel will be excavated into native materials. See the figure on the last page for the project layout.

Project Number	153722
Business Line	Flood Risk Management Section 205
Project Type	Overflow structure
Geographic Location	Gowanda, NY
Main Project Features	Gated diversion structure, grass and riprap protected channel, box culvert channel, miscellaneous site improvements
Estimated Construction Cost	
E&D Product Delivery Method	In-House Design
Construction Delivery Method	Fixed Price

b. Products. The E&D products to be reviewed include the following:

- (1) Design Documentation Report (DDR)
- (2) Plans and Specifications (P&S)
- (3) Engineering Considerations and Instructions for Field Personnel (ECIFP)
- (4) E&D Products for Engineering During Construction (EDC)

4. DOCUMENTATION OF RISKS AND ISSUES

a. Life Safety Assessment: The District Chief of Engineering has reviewed the project requirements and determined there is not a significant threat to human life if the project were to fail.

b. Technical Complexities and Risks. The project delivery team (PDT) performed a thorough risk analysis of the anticipated project design, construction, and operations activities and identified the critical technical complexities and risks listed below. Quality reviews will be planned and performed with the goal to best manage these project technical complexities and risks.

(1) Floating Debris: Drainage areas upstream of the channel inlet are forested. Natural debris may enter Thatcher Brook during storm events and be carried to the diversion structure. This debris could collect at the inlet structure and impede flow and slide gate operations during construction and project operations.

(2) Hazardous Waste (HTRW): The project vicinity has abandoned industrial areas and a closed Superfund site. The design channel alignment has been located to bypass areas having potential for HTRW contamination. More HTRW investigation will be performed during design. Potential HTRW discovery during project execution could increase design requirements, schedule, and costs.

(3) Railroad Replacement: The existing railroad embankment and track will be cut and replaced. Design may require specialized expertise for connections of new to old railroad track.

(4) Mechanical and Electrical Engineering Expertise: The District does not have senior mechanical and electrical engineers on staff. Outside mechanical and electrical expertise is recommended to review the inlet structure gate design.

5. REVIEW EXECUTION

a. Project Delivery Team (PDT): PDT members are listed in Attachment 1. PDT members will work collaboratively with review team members to ensure effective performance of the planned quality reviews.

b. District Quality Control (DQC): DQC is required for all products. Follow DQC procedures in Chapter 4 of ER 1165-2-217 and District local work instructions. The Engineering Technical Lead and DQC Lead will collaborate to oversee and ensure effective DQC performance.

c. Biddability, Constructability, Operability, Environmental, Sustainability (BCOES): BCOES reviews are required for all products. Follow BCOES review procedures in ER 415-1-11 and District local work instructions. The Engineering Technical Lead and DQC Lead will collaborate to oversee and ensure effective BCOES execution.

d. Agency Technical Review (ATR): ATR is required for all products and shall follow ATR procedures in Chapter 5 of ER 1165-2-217. ATR will address the technical complexities and risks described in sub-

section 4.b. Required senior technical disciplines and expertise needed for ATR are described in Table 1. Assigned ATR team members are listed in Attachment 1. The RMO representative has made a risk-informed decision and waived the requirement for the ATR Leader to be any employee outside the home MSC. PDT and review team leaders will collaborate to oversee and ensure effective execution.

Table 1. ATR Technical Discipline(s) and Required Expertise	
Technical Discipline	Expertise Required
ATR Team Leader	Middle to senior level professional experienced with leading ATR teams preferably with Section 205 flood risk management projects. May also serve as a discipline reviewer. CERCAP Level 1 or 2 certified.
Design Engineers	Middle to senior level professional experienced in the hydraulics engineering areas inlet structure and bypass channel design, mixed flow, curved channel flow, channel stability, and debris management. CERCAP Level 1 or 2 certified.
Mechanical Engineer	Middle to senior level professional experienced in the mechanical design of slide gates in stream flow structures. CERCAP Level 1 or 2 certified.
Electrical Engineer	Middle to senior level professional experienced in the mechanical design of electrical power supply and gate actuators. CERCAP Level 1 or 2 certified.

e. Safety Assurance Review (SAR): The District Chief of Engineering has reviewed the risks and determined the project will not benefit from performing a Safety Assurance Review.

f. Review Charge. Reviewers will refer to and perform ATR per Section 5.7 of ER 1165-2-217, Objectives, Scope, and Review Criteria. Reviewers shall check to confirm the project engineering and design addresses the technical complexities and risks described in Section 4.b.

6. REVIEW SCHEDULE AND BUDGETS. The schedule and budgets for reviews are shown in Table 2. BCOES reviews will not be done concurrently with DQC and ATR review periods.

Table 2. Review Schedule and Budgets			
Review Activities	Start Date	Finish Date	Budget (\$)
Conceptual Design (CD) BCOES (Kickoff)			
CD Design Documentation Report, 60% Design Analysis, 30% Drawings, Outline Specs, Min Class 3 Cost Estimate, Schedule (DQC)			
CD Design Documentation Report, 60% Design Analysis, 30% Drawings, Outline Specs, Min Class 3 Cost Estimate, Schedule (ATR)			
CD BCOES Design Document Report			
Intermediate Design (ID) (60%) BCOES			
ID Updated DDR, 100% Design Analysis, 60% Drawings, Specs, Cost Estimate (DQC)			
ID updated DDR, 100% Design Analysis, 60% Drawings, Specs, Cost Estimate (ATR)			
Final Design (FD) BCOES			
Backcheck Final BCOES			

Table 2. Review Schedule and Budgets			
Review Activities	Start Date	Finish Date	Budget (\$)
FD 100% Drawings, Specs, Cost Estimate, Submittal Register (DQC)	████████	████████	████████
FD 100% Drawings, Specs, Cost Estimate, Submittal Register (ATR)	████████	████████	████████
Certification of Plans and Specs	████████	████████	████████
Sign Drawings and Send to Contracting	████████	████████	████████

7. REVIEW DOCUMENTATION. The ATR leader will prepare an ATR report per Section 5.10 of ER 1165- 2-217. The ATR report with certification form will be provided to the approval signatories, including the RMO representative. Review documents will be stored with the official project records.

8. REVIEW PLAN POINTS OF CONTACT. Questions and comments relating to this review plan can be directed to the following points of contact:

a. District Project Leaders

(1) Project Manager: Gabriel Schmidbauer, CELRB-PMP-M, gabriel.a.schmidbauer@usace.army.mil.

(2) Engineering Technical Lead: Green, Daniel, CELRB-TDD-A, daniel.n.green@usace.army.mil .

b. Review Management Organization (RMO) Representative: CELRD-ECD, Frank Appelfeller (frank.a.appelfeller@usace.army.mil) or Amit Dash (amit.k.dash@usace.army.mil).

9. APPROVED AND RECOMMENDED BY:

██

District Chief of Engineering
USACE, Buffalo District

ATTACHMENT 1 – TEAM MEMBERS

PROJECT DELIVERY TEAM		
Function/Discipline	Name (Last, First)	Office
Project Manager		CELRB-PMP-M
Plan Formulator		CELRB-PML-P
Biologist, Environmental Analysis		CELRB-PML-E
Engineering Team Lead		CELRB-TDD-A
Structural Engineer		CELRB-TDD-S
Geotechnical Engineer		CELRB-TDD-G
Cost Engineer		CELRB-TDD_C
District Value Engineer		CELRB-TDD-E
Geospatial Lead		CELRB-TDE-S
Program Analyst		CERLB-PM-PO
Program Specialist		CELRB-PM-PO
Safety and Occupational Health		CELRB-SO
Branch Chief, Contracting		CECT-LRB
Assistant District Counsel		CELRB-OC
Realty Specialist		CELRE-REP
Public Affairs Officer		CELRB-PA
H&H Engineer		CELRB-TDD-WH
DQC REVIEWERS		
Function/Discipline	Name (Last, First)	Office
DQC Lead -Chief Design		CELRB-TDD
Civil Structural Design		CELRB-TDD-S
H&H Engineering		CELRB-TDD-WH
Cost Engineering		CELRB-TDD-E
Coastal / Geotech		CELRB-TDD-C
A-E & Project Engineering		CELRB-TDD-A
Real Estate		CELRE-REP
BCOES REVIEWERS		
Function/Discipline	Name (Last, First)	Office
Operations		CELRB-TDO
Construction		CELRB-TDC
Counsel		CELRB-OC
Contracting		CECT-LRB
Environmental		CERLB-PML-E
Civil/Structural		CELRB-TDD-S
Geotechnical		CELRB-TDD-C
H&H Engineering		CELRB-TDD-WH
A-E & Project Engineering		CELRB-TDD-A
ATR Reviewers		
Function/Discipline	Name (Last, First)	Office
Leader/Mechanical Engineer		CELRN-ECD-E
H&H Engineer		CELRB-ECE-H
Electrical Engineer		CELRB-ED-D-M

